

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/113,712	07/10/98	HELINSKI		E	EN997043
T 2		QM32/0719			EXAMINER
ERIC J FRA				DEXTE	R,C
POLLOCK VA	NDE SANDE &	PRIDDY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	088 DC 20036-3	425		3724	
				DATE MAILED	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

07/19/00





**Advisory Action** 

Application No. 09/113,712

Clark F. Dexter

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit 3724

Helinski

TH	E PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) 🗌	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	p) 🔀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date o rejection.	, whichever f the final
	date on	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriat which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the pur ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 w ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	poses or ill be '
	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or very for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	within any
Ap but	plicant is NO	's response to the final rejection, filed on $\underline{\qquad Jul\ 7,\ 2000}$ has been considered with the following T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	effect,
X	The pr	oposed amendment(s):	
	☐ wi	Il be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	🗴 wi	ill not be entered because:	
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simp issues for appeal.	lifying the
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NO	TE: The amendment raises new issues; for example, the changes to claim 6, line 8 and to claim 7, I	ine 8 raise
		new issues that require at least further consideration.	
	<u>th</u>	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): e rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph to line 10 of claim 1, line 2 of claim 3, and line 10	
Ш	Newly separ	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submi ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	tted in a
		ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application owance because:	in condition
		ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were new	vly raised by
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
	Claim	s allowed: <i>None</i>	
		s objected to: None	
	Claim	s rejected: 1-11	
		roposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the	Examiner.
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
X	Other	It is noted that the antecedent basis rejection to claim 8, line 2 has not been addressed and would be obviated if amended in the same manner as claim 3, line 2.	F. DEXTER EXAMINER

**ART UNIT 3724**